G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

LR File No. 64/91

191 .

Serial Nos. 6-15

(Land Revenue)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6-15

Major Part B for

SUBJECT.

Re Col Desavai Concession to Whose lands under Govt Management were taken

---

Countersigned
Secretary

Rec'd

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Read by Forb.

Submit as soon with the references if any.

sd/ C. S.
Secy. 19/3/97

Secy.

This seems to be a new Petn in the nature of appeal against Commr's order. Send up refs if any.

sd/ V.R.T.
20/3/97

Ref:
Copy
2 x/o

1897

No. 2828.

Name of Petitioner Lt Colonel J. Desaraj Urs.

Village

Taluk

District Mysore.

Date of Arzi 12-2-97

Date of Receipt 20-3-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 4

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the Deputy Commr's orders nos 2439 of 29 5-96 and 189 of 26 9-96 which are as follows:—

no 2439/93 of 29 5-96. Since only one darkhast was presented during the Jamabandy relating to the survey Settlement and the land was free for cultivation by the survey subject to Secretary conducting any survey nothing can be done now.

no 189 of 24 7-96

You wish that the land granted by the survey subject for cultivation without a levy of its price should now be valued and the price collected. Rules do not authorise such procedure. The land is

Read on 26/3/97.
[illegible]

Secy

23/4/97
Sub Secretary

Secy
29/4/97

Secy
29/4

Revenue Secy

I believe there is no Revenue Secy a Secy [illegible] as regards the Village of Col Desaraj Urs and Bahadur Basavaraj - Please [illegible] at once [illegible] 28/4/97

Secy
29/4/97

To Revenue Branch for reply in 5 days when & under what circumstances Col Desaraj Urs' Village was taken under Govt management. The file of any may be sent.

24/3/97

their possession of only if they
were there of give it of their free
will — but no charge can be
attachments
levied. to collect its price as if.
the Kundayam were due thereon.

Both appeals against these
orders and states — that
wet land under the Jodi
tank of the
Chennamavillage belonging to
this
the Manavarte (which is valued
at Rs. 2-8 21-9-6) was sanctioned
to be granted for free cultivation
at the time of the
Survey Jamabandy. On presenting
to the authorities that the
Jodi was shd be collected,
they have issued orders to the
contrary. The Jodi will now be
under the management of the
Government.

If the village had been mana-
ged by the Manavarte, this injustice
would not have been committed
nor this considerable loss
incurred by him. Since the
village was under Govt
management at the time
it was the duty of the Govt
to have collected the kist
amount. The Survey

Ramadurg occured on 2-11-94 the very next day the manavarti came to understand this and at once presented petitions to the Taluq, District and Survey authorities on which after some correspondence between the Dy Coms and Survey Supdt, the latter this despatched letter no 4571 that contribution amounts might be collected. The Taluq no 611 granted to the Manavarti in accordance to the above (i.e survey Supdt's letter) and the Kulavar Patty containing the details of the amount to be collected Taposil are produced for the consideration of Govt. The Village being under the Govt management, the amount cannot be collected by the Manavarti. The Dy Coms without paying any attention to all these points has granted orders which have given rise to much inconvenience and loss.

prays that the Govt may take all these proceedings into their considerations and pass orders for the enhanced amount being charged to each Khate in due proportion and then granted to him.

Further submits that besides all this Shemnappa and Ramakrishniah Shanbhogs of the manavarte Hongli well aware that the amount was due to Petr Concealed the fact at the time of the Jabtabandy and absconded the land for the use of themselves and their friends / nos, and thus caused considerable loss to him. The depositions of these two men and other papers connected with this case have been sent to the Taluq. These men if retained in the office of Shanbhog are apt to commit such mischief and cause such losses in future also. So prays that they may be removed from the office altogether.

Enclosures —

1. Petr's body (Govt. letter) has passed Govt thereon no 2439/95 of 29-5-96 translated above.
2. do — do — do — 109 of 24-7-96 — do —
3. Govt granted to the manavarte by the Asstt of Shamarajnagar referred to in the Petn.
4. Kulavar Tapasil Taluq.

B.R.
22/3/97

I am afraid this is not
the file I wanted - Is
there anything in this
about Col Deasy's Khas
Villages ?

29-4-97

submitted

The reference since
found are put up -
The village ?
Jammu was taken
under Govt Manage
-ment in Feby
1892 - (Please
see Govt. Order No. 12309
399
of 2nd Feby 1892, put
up) 17/5/97

Rd and
Sent
1875

The complaint relates to two
things (1) that the occupancy
a certain piece of wet land in
the Jook Village was not sold at the
but granted free at the Survey Jamabandi
(2) That the two Shembopes

[illegible] appear at Nanyaki unless
have caused the loss to put [2]
and should be removed from the roll
// The Village is under Govt
management & under sec [2]
120 of the Land Rev Code, all
the rules applying to an
unalienated Village apply to
it. The question is whether
the land was disposed of
in contravention of the Darkhast

Rules for Secretary

1/6/97

Called 6-2 Dft.

A repeat on both
the Notices may be called
for from the Dy Comr

Robin.
9/6/97.

9-6-97

Yes - call for
report. Enquiry
was also conducted
regarding this
case.

11/6/97

1/6/97

13/6/97

1. Draft O.M.
2. [The delay has been caused by Dft. Omkar applicant's part of
in putting up reps] 13/6 9/6/97

Memo

Petition No 2828 of 12-2-97

Petitioner - Col. J. Desaraj Urs

Subject - Appeal against the order of the Dy Commr Mysore District refusing to collect contribution from the ryots for the lands under the petitioner's Jodi tank of Jemmur in the Chamrajnagar taluk.

Facts of the case

In his letter No 47 dy 29-1-92 to the Chief Secretary, the Palace Controller represented that 3 Inam villages in Chamrajnagar taluk belonging to Col. J Desaraj Urs - including Jemmur - might be managed by the Dy. Commr on behalf of the Palace till the debt due to the Palace was discharged. Govt Order No $\frac{1230 \text{ dy}}{399 \text{ dy}}$ dy 2nd February 1892 was accordingly issued to the Dy Commr to take the villages under Government management

1

2. In the year 1894, Survey Settlement was introduced into the Chamrajnagar Taluk, and during the Settlement the Survey Supdt granted certain waste lands below the Jodi Jeeruve tank for cultivation without sale. The manavarte of Col: J. Desaraj urs took objection to this proceeding and on the Jodidar's complaint the Survey Supdt informed the petitioner that the lands were granted without sale for cultivation at the time of Settlement for want of plurality of applicants and that he had no objection to the amount being collected from the ryots as contribution. The Dy: Commr who was asked to give effect to that arrangement declined to collect the same from the ryots on the ground that the same not being a revenue demand, coercive

processes could not be legally resorted to. The petitioner complains of a loss of Rs 2821-9-6 caused thereby and has appealed to Govt.

It appears from the Dy. Commrs letter No 534 dt 4-11-97 that the tank in Jodi Jemmer was restored by the Jodidar and that he used to levy, before the villages came under Govt management, a price at the rate of Rs 160 or 200 for every Khandi of the waste wet lands taken up for cultivation.

Note by the 2d Councillor

The complication arose from the Survey Supdts having in accordance with the practice of his Deptt given away the land at the time of the Survey Settlement. The Amildar or other Rev: Officer who announced the rates or was present at such proceeding should have brought the matter to the notice of the Survey Supdt

but in this matter, there is an omission.

I raised the question of the Survey Supdt's authority to give away waste lands during the announcement of rules, but he wanted the practice to be followed.

Col: Desaraj Urs's complaint about the loss of money due to him is difficult to meet. The Dy Comm^r should be asked to report what he proposes to do in this matter and this point of the case may be laid before the Dewan also as I entertain doubts how to proceed.

× × × ×

Init: P N K.
27-7-98

Ex

Col Desaraigaires'
Compt

The complication
arose from the S. Supt
having in accordance
with the practice of
his dept given away
the land at the time
of the S. settlement
The Govt or other Govt
Agent who announced
the rates or was
present at such
sales should have
brought the matter
to the notice of the S. Supt
& in this matter
there is an omission

I raised the question
of the S. Supt's authority
to give away waste
lands during the
announcement of
rates, but he wanted
the practice to be followed
Col Desaraigaires'
Compt about the loss
of money due to him
is difficult to meet
The Govt could not be

asked to report what
the Engineer Officer
this matter. & that
the Dy Commr
should also be asked
to enquire into the
complaint of Channappa
& Ramakrishnappa
& deal with them
as they deserve send
a report of his action
thereon.

27.7.98

(b(a)

the case may be laid
before the Darwar also
as I entertain
doubt how to
proceed.

Dulled to
Dharwar by
27-7-98

Forwarded & D.O. with
a memo of the case

9/8/98

Oct^{r} No 997 of 1899-00

Submitted D Sec^{y}

At the request of the Palace Controller made in his letter No. 47 dated 29th January 1892 the Dy: Comm^{r}. Nipani was requested to take under his management on behalf of the Palace the Inam villages of Bagale, Jamni and Demakalli, and administer them till the debt due D the Palace by Col. Desaraj Urs the Inamdar thereof is fully discharged. A petition from the Inamdar on the subject is stated D have been referred D the Dy Comm^{r} with the above reference.

(2) The administration report of the Inam villages under Govt. management submitted by the Dy. Comm^{r} for 1891-92 Shows that the revenue of the villages for 1891-92 amounted to Rs 4749-15-8, Expenditure Rs 2870.13-9 and balance Rs 1879-1-11 which was

remitted to the Palace
towards the liquidation
of a debt of Rs 30869.8.9

(3) The report for 1892-93
shows a collection of Rs 5368.11.8
and a remittance to the Palace
of a net balance of Rs 1426.13.8

The report for 1893-94 shows
a collection of Rs 4495.9.3
and a remittance to the
Palace of a net balance
of Rs 2489-14-4.

(4) These reports show that
out of the gross collection
Govt. have deducted only
Jodi and the cost of
establishment & remittance
away the whole of the balance
to the Palace in liquidation
of the ~~Palace~~ loan. These
papers do not show if any
payments were made to
the Muzpai institutions
as stated by the Petitioner.

It seems that the Petitioner's
request can be complied with
only in case a enquiry had been
made to the effect at the time
when the management of
the Villages were assumed

by Govt.

(5) Apart from the insufficiency of information as to whether a portion of the revenues of Bayahi & Horkoh (the latter village is not one of the 3 villages taken under Govt. management in 1892) were reserved for Nuzarayi expenses, the question in this case is whether the Palace will agree to the allotment out of the revenues of the villages of Rs 475-3-4 for Nuzarayi expenses & to the an annual payment in future of Rs 186 for the purposes specified in the list enclosed in Col Denra's Ins' petition. The result of these payments will be to delay the discharge of the debt due to the Palace It is not possible to

Calculate the period by
Wh the discharge will be
delayed, in the absence of
the necessary data (amount of
debt, &c)

13/2/00

Ask the Rates Controller
whether the Rebus request
may be complied with

17/2/00

draft sub'd.
16/2

Let a clerk put up a brief note showing when & how the villages were taken under Govt. management, & whether the Inamdar's request may be complied with & what is the present practice

Thy 21/12/59

Note.

Tuticorin Municipality has borrowed Rs 3000 from the Salary a/c the accounts of the Panchayat Village called Hosahalli in the Nanjangud Taluk. The net revenue of the Village is said to be Rs 2364-12-11. An increase is expected at the ensuing assessment. The Salary Controller requests that the Municipality be instructed to take over the management of the Village on behalf of the Salary.

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor

2 Office Notes for orders

Orders.

CL.

11/6/95

Memoranda
under Originable
such matters.
Such manage
-ment is desirable
undertaken
& often under
the heads of the
Manager -
I think this
can was ordered
by His late Hyness
& this management
be undertaken
is a result of the
arrangement
then effected -
Orders may be
given as desired

WMB
12/6/95

To Rowan

Submitted to the
Rowan - C.
13/6/95

Note.

A loan having been advanced by the Bashekwaji Nro on the security of his Kuyenguita Village called Haranga in the Gundal pett Salem, the Palace Controller requested that the Dy Comr. be instructed to take over the Village under Govt management on behalf of the Palace. The Pattadars of the loan say the revenue have been given by the Palace Controller.

Submitted to the Dy Commissioner for orders

C.

11/6/95.

2 Office Notes for orders

To Govan as
per orders on
the matter in
connection with
Col Devaraj
Urs's application

MLD
12/6/95

Submitted to
the Devan P
13/6/95

This is Lt Col Devaraj Urs' [illegible] may be
taken [illegible] under Govt management, not
behalf of the Palace - The [illegible] Revenue
realized shd be annually remitted to the
Palace - To facilitate management
by the Rev. authorities Govt shd
have the power to [illegible] a Rev Survey
& Settl., with such [illegible] managers
for the [illegible] Palace, when they are under
Govt management

19.6.95

Draft orders
accordingly
to be issued

2 drafts subt.
19/6.
19/6/95

Rev

~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT.

Proposal of the Palace Contr to take over under the management of Govt —

(A) Kayemgutta Horayala village Gurmetpet Taluk, belonging to Mr. Bukkalu Basappaji Urs.

(B) Sarvamanyam Hosakote village Nanjangud Taluk, belonging to Lft Col: Desaraj Urs. —

(A) LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 44 dated 16th March/95

from the Palace Controller —

In letter No. 27 & 11th Decr. 94, the Palace Contr requested that the Kayemgutta Horayala village in the Gurmetpet Taluk on the Security of which Mr. Basappaji Urs had been granted a loan from the Palace, might be taken under the management of Govt on behalf of the Palace. This letter with an office note Shewing how far the loan of Rs. 29,300/- Sanctioned to

Nº 44 Dy 15-3-95

Mr. Chamappagi Urs and his Son Mr. Bukshi Basappagi Urs in 1871 on the Security of certain Inam villages in the Arkalgud Taluk, had been paid by them. & when these Inam villages were taken under Govt. management, was submitted to Dewan on 23d. Decr. 1894. ~~No order have been passed on the~~.

The Palace Controller ~~has~~ now enquires whether ~~again asked to know of~~ instructions have been given to the Dy Commr., Mysore for taking over the village under his management on behalf of the Palace. In the Controller's letter, the amount of the loan ~~was~~ said to have been sanctioned to Mr. Basappagi Urs from the Palace, is not stated, nor the revenue & other particulars of the

Kaiyemgutta village under reference, given —

(1) Submitted for orders as to whether the Dy Commr, Mysore may be instructed to take over the village under his management as requested by the Palace Controller.

(B) Last Reference — Letter No. 36 & 11th Feb 1895 from the Palace Controller. ——

In Decr. 1894, the Palace Controller forwarded to this office a petition submitted to H. H. the late Maharaja by Lt. Col. Desaraj Urs asking for a loan of Rs. 30,000 on the security of Sarvamangem Hoskoté village, Nanjangud Taluk, and requested that as the loan asked for had been sanctioned, the village might be taken under the management of the Dy Commr, Mysore, on behalf

× Please see letter No. 30 & 14-12-94

Pet 1822

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

Registered No. 2528 dated 12-2-97

received at the Dewan's Office from Mysore Colonel J. Desaraj Urs appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner dated 29-5-96 and 26-[illegible] refusing to collect the value of wet land under the Tank of the Jodi[illegible] village in the Govt Management [illegible] free for cultivation during the Sugar[illegible] Jamabandi and [illegible] the fraudulent conduct of the Shanbhog[illegible] Chennappa + Ramkrishn[illegible] is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore — District for a full report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,

Dated 25th June 1897

Pet 1842 25

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Manager of Lt Col J. Desaraj Urs, Mysore for information.

By order

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

8 1897–98 3279
Pet 235

From

The [illegible]

Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. Petition No. 2828 with 12 Annexures & Plans

General No. [illegible]

Petition Branch
9 11 97

Refd petnr.

Submitted
S.K.
12/11/97

AK
11/11/97.

The Survey Supdt. observes that a Land need only be sold when there are several applicants for it & not on consideration of para 1 Rule XX of the Rules framed under "If the Secretary of State considers that special circumstances render a public sale desirable, the Lands shall be sold" Here the Forest has constructed the Tank - a mere expenditure of the works-Rate under Sec 53 of the L.R. Code. The Govt Managing interest of the Forest cannot reimburse the Forest. At any rate the Railway is levied only when the estimate of the irrigation work is not less than 2,000 Rs - & hence does not represent a portion of the increased value of the Land

The question rests the only Supdt is cultivated 5 years & consequently is entitled to the Land. Petitioner recently raised objection by Supdt's reply has been submitted some time ago

Rd & Pen.
19.11.97

Asst Commr
19/11/97

10/11

No. 534

Enclosures No. Petn with 4 Rs. and record -

OFFICE OF THE Dy Commr
MYSORE DISTRICT, - 4
Dated Mysore Nov. 4 1897.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to your official Memorandum Petn 1822, dated 17th June, 1897, forwarding for report a petition from Colonel J. Devarajiars, appealing against this office order refusing to collect prices for certain wet lands under the tank of his Jodi Jannur Village, Chamrajnagar Taluk, I have the honor to state that the tank of the said Village having been repaired by the Jodidar at his own cost, he used with a view to reimburse himself for the outlay incurred by him to levy a price at Rupees 200 or 160 per candi for the waste lands new by taken up for cultivation under the tank. The Village has since been taken under Government management and brought under Survey Settlement. During the Survey the remaining waste lands under the tank as per Statement filed with the records

× Herewith returned with its enclosures.

records, were added on to the adjoining occupied numbers and given out to the occupants for cultivation, free of charge. Lieutenant Colonel J. Deas Inglis, complains that this action on the part of the Survey Department has occasioned a loss of Rs. 2821 " 9 " 6, being the amount of prices recoverable from the occupants on account of the waste lands at the rate at which he was paid when the village was under his own management. —

2. The Survey Superintendent, to whom a reference was made by this office on the subject, intimated, in his letter No. 4671, dated 11th September 1895, that no price or value was recovered for the lands in question at the current settlement for want of a sufficiency of applicants for the same, but that there was no objection to the collection of contributions from the occupants. The Collector, to whom the above reply of the Superintendent was duly communicated, requested the Deputy Commissioner to issue orders for the collection of the amount in the shape of a contribution from the

the Village being under Government management, but my predecessor, Mr. Venkata Narsingam, informed the Jodidar, in his yadi No. 189, dated 24th July 1896, that there was no law providing for the recovery of the money by Coercive Measures, and that it could only be received if voluntarily offered by the occupants of the lands.

The Amildar reports that Shanboque Chennappa, has been suspended, with effect from January 1896, and Rama-Krishniah is looking after the duties of the office in accordance with the wishes of the Jodidar.

3. The records Connected with the Case are herewith submitted for reference and obliging return, when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your Most obed.t Servt.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Comptd
ill.

(4)

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read:-

Petition No 2828 dy 12-2-97 received from Col: J. Desaraj Wrs Mysore, (1) appealing against the order of the Dy: Commr Mysore Dist refusing to collect contribution from the ryots for the lands under the tank in his Jodi village of Jennur and (2) complaining of the fraudulent conduct of the Shanbhogs Chennappa and Ramakrishnia of the above village

2. Letter No 534 dy 4 November 1897 from the Dy Commr Mysore Dist reporting on the above

Order thereon No 933 Pgg dy 3-8-98

The Deputy Commr. should enquire into the complaint against Chennappa and Ramakrishnappa and deal with them as they deserve sending a report of his action to Govt

LR 64-91-5

2. Separate orders will be issued regarding the levy of contribution from the ryots

Draft

for levy

To

The D/Commr Mysore Distt

No 934/P100 dt 3-8-98

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Manager of Col. J. Desaraj Urs at Mysore for information

By order

for Secretary

K

Transferred to the Revenue Branch

21. 11. 99 Asst Secretary

Gent: Records

please put-up this with
No. 12309-399 of 2/12/99
B.S
7/12/99

There is a Slip to show that the above No. 12309-399 of 2-2-99 put up with the petition No. 2828 of 12-2-99 + sent to Revenue Branch on 14-5-99

8/12/99

8/12/99

25.6.99

General Records

Please put up Pet 8228 25/6/99 with D.O. Mysore with connected papers

18/12/99

20/12/99

Genl. No. 1118 of 10-8-98. from Mysore with refs. ending with the G.Bs. 928 of 3-8-98 was submitted to the Secy. to H.H. on 17-8-98.

17 21-64-98

3329

1899.

No. 997.

Name of Petitioner J. Devaraj Urs. Lt. Col.

Villages Inamdar of Bagali & other

Taluk

District

Date of Arzi 15-11-99

Date of Receipt 20-11-99.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Two.

ABSTRACT

States that his Inam villages, Bagali, Jam Benahalli and Hoskot are now under the management of Govt. that out of the income a portion had been from time immemorial allotted for certain Dimyani Expenses in certain temples: th

Rs 475-3-4 ~~has been~~ to
on account of irregularity
in payment, due to
the temples at Tirumu-
-kudlu, Hosagod & Van-
-jamagud.

Prays that the said
sum may be ordered
to be paid by the
Amildars of Chenna
-rayanagar &
Nanjangud & that
orders may be issued
for the regular payment
annually, in future
of Rs 186 to the several
temples, as per list
enclosed.

Encl. 1. List shewing
balance up to date
due to temples, Rs 475-3-4.
2. List shewing
details of arrears
to be made over
to the distributing
Rs 186 to the
temples.

SM
20/4/29.

MYSORE GOVT. INSTT.
EDITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. NO. 997 R
DATE 20-11-98

By Post-registered 20-11-98

From

Lieut Colonel g. Desaraj Urs,

Inamdar.

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore,

Revenue & General Department.

Sir,

I beg to state that my Inam villages (noted in the margin) are now under the management of Government. From a very long time - from the time of our forefathers, certain Muzarai expenses were defrayed out of the income derived from those villages. A certain portion of the sum was set apart for the religious festivals celebrated in the Sarvamanya villages of Bagali and Hoskote when the villages were transferred to Government management and consequently the festivals in these places have been carried on properly: but the allotment for the festivals in other places, viz - Tirumakudalu, Moogur and Nanjungood has not been made regularly.

Sarvamanya Bagali
Jodi Jannur
Jodi Demahally
Sarvamanya Hoskote

regularly, in consequence of which a sum of Rupees 475-3-4 is still due to these temples.

Since there were some balances due to Mahanvartha from some villages at the time of transfer, it was thought that these could be utilized to defray the expenses for some time, as they were recovered and the Mahanvartha accordingly have advanced certain sums from time to time. As the balance due from the villages have been completely dis= =charged and as the arrears due to the temples on account of festivals, amount to Rs 475-3-4 already (as per list enclosed) I request you to kindly issue orders to the Amildar of Nanjangood or Chamarajanagar to pay this up out of the income of my inam villages, and in future to pay regularly every year for the celebration of festivals the sum of Rs 186-0-0 to the several temples (as per details in the list enclosed) and also to exercise Special Supervision on such occasions.

Mysore.
15th November 1899

I beg to remain,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

J Bruce Fitz
Lt Colonel.
Amildar.

List showing balances up to date to the Temples mentioned below.

Rs - as - Ps.	
336 - 1 - 2	To Aswaththaiya, the priest of the temple of Agasthya Iswara Swami at Tirumakudalu. The Sum due for the muzrai expenses of Deseswara Swami that has been set up by the mananarthi in the temple there. Balance until September 1899.
127 - 8 - 4	To the Temple at Moogur.
56 - 5 - 4	Sum due for nanda Lamp oil and other Expenses to Shamannah and Tammadi madaiya of the Deseswara Swami Temple.
48 - 16 - 4	Sum due for nanda Lamp oil and other expenses to Tammadis Honnaiya, Siddalingaiya, Tripurantakaiya, madaiya, and Bagali Devaiya of Tripura Sunda= =rammah's Temple.
22 - 5 - 4	Bonus and pay due to Ramannah, priest of Sri Narayana Swami.
127 - 8 - 4	
11 - 10 - 2	Amount due to Devaiya, Son of Tammas mudigowdana Devaiya of the temple of

of Srikanteswara Swami at Nanjangood.

J. D. Smyth Mrs.

475-3-4

List showing details of arrangements to be made hereafter regarding distribution of the sum of Rs 186-1-0 among the temple, mentioned below:--

	Amount required for a year.	
Tirumakudalu Deseswara Swami Temple.	52-6-0	Sum of money required for a year to pay to the priest Aswathaiya of Tirumakudalu Deseswaraswami for the Nanda oil and other expenses at the rate of Rs 4-5-10 per month.
Mooguer Deseswara Swami Temple.	39-12-0	Sum of money required for one year to pay for Nanda oil and other expenses to Deseswaraswami of Mooguer at the rate of Rs 3-5-0 per month.
Sri Tripura Sundaramma Temple.	34-8-0	Sum of money due annually to the above mentioned Tammadis of Sri Tripura Sundaramma at the Rate of Rs 2-14-0 per month.
Sri Narayana Swami Temple.	15-12-0	Sum of money annually due to the priest Ramanna of Sri Narayana Swami for payments &c, at the Rate of Rs 1-5-0 per month.
Nanjangood Srikanteswara Swami Temple	3-8-0	Sum of money annually due to the above said Tammadi Devaiya of Srikanteswara Swami at Nanjangood for Tamba flower
	145-14-0	

flower at the rate of Rs 0-4-8 per month.

40-2-0 Narayana Swami Temple.

24-0-0 To Nanda oil for Narayana Swami Temple.

Amount due for the yearly festivals.

6-0-0 Sum due to Ambalai Ranganna for the Sankaranthi festival in honour of Sri Biligiri Ranga Swami.

5-12-2 Amount due for the girija Kalyana festival in honour of Desesvara Swami at Moogur. To be discharged through T. Nardipur Talug.

4-5-10 Amount due for the car festival in honour of Tripura Sundaramma of Moogur. To be discharged through the same Talug.

40-2-0

J. Devanathan

186-0-0

From 12 1899-1900

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To
The Palace Controller

Dated 19 Feb. 00.

Despatched 19.

Received ✓

General No. 6011

R7 No. 273-92.

Enclosure No. 1

In forwarding herewith the accompanying ~~Petition~~ letter No. 997 dated 15. Nov 99 from Lt. Col. J. Disney Esq Inamdar of Bagali, Jemaj and Deparahalli villages; ~~praying~~ requesting that out of the revenues of the above villages ~~Sadasivamangala~~ under Govt. management on behalf of the Palace, certain marriage expenses may be met, has the honor to request that the Palace Controller will be good enough to report whether the Petitioners

equest may be complied
with

2. The petition should be
returned with the report.

By order

Farley
A.C.

2 Ll.
14.8.00

57.74
23-190 & R 3150

Submitted.
File put up.
17/3/00

From
The Palace Controller
Jaipore.

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Jaipore
General & Revenue Departments
Jaipore

Dated
Despatched 9th March 1900
Received
General No. 51
No.

Endorsement. One

Sir,

In reference to your No: 6011
R.7-273-92
dated 19th February 1900, I am directed to inform you that His request of Colonel Deveajhus expressed in his petition herewith returned, for meeting certain charges of expenditure not at the present of the Villages under Government management may be complied with.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Naraayan Singh
Palace Controller.

In connection
Secretary
Please see Secy to
Order of 15-2-00
below office Note
on the file -
The points on which
opn is required
are -
1. Whether Hotkote
is one of the villages
under Govt manage
ment.
2 - A DC. B Statement shewing
the Income & Expdre
of the villages from
the Commencement
3. How much of the
debt has been
cleared & what
is the outstanding
balance up to the
end V 1898-99 -

1889-1900

From

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To

The Dy: Commr. Mysore
District.

Dated 16/4/00

Despatched 16.

Received

General No. 7394.

R7. No. 273-92

Enclosure No. 1

In forwarding herewith the accompanying letter No. 997* dated 15th Nov 99 from Lt. Col. J. Deseraj Urs Inamdar of Bagali and other ~~Jeevur and Devarkalli~~ villages, requesting that out of the revenues of the above villages under Govt. management on behalf of the Palace, certain Durgai Expenses may be met, has the honr to request that the Dy: Commr. will be so good as to report on the following points ~~submitting~~ forwarding at the same time a separate statement showing the

* To be returned with reply.

Salwar

Income and Expenditure
of all the villages concerned up to the Close of
the year 1898-99.

1. Whether Hoskote is one of the villages under Govt. management and if so, since how long & under what authority.

2. How much of the debt has been cleared and what is the outstanding balance up to the end of 1898-99.

3. Whether the Mugrai expenses under notice can be paid out of the revenues of the villages. as requested

By order

11/11/00

Forsey.

4). Whether the
Mess: Expenses
under notice
Can be paid
out of the revenues
of the villages -

When the DC
Comrs report is
rec'd. final orders
may be passed -

I tried to
find out if the
above info could
be had from the
file on the subject -

The Drawing
Reports for the
years subsequent
to 1893-4 not
having been rec'd
the resp info is
not available -
Hence the delay
in the submission
of these papers -

11/4/00

Please do - reminder 11/4/00

Secretary on the 5th March 1901 and was found with his papers.
Sd: Record Deptt

Please put up No 24223-5 of 19 "
" 3524 " 35

There is a slip that this was put up with
No 45 of 21/7/95 from Palace Comptroller
1901 Laboratory Office

Refers perhaps.
14/6/01
14/5/01

Vide Office note put up - Papers
rec'd for arrangement of references & omitted,
on 20-5-01
mg

1900/901.

6787

From

R 4120

The Deputy Commissioner of
the Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments.

Dated 68
Despatched } April 1901
Received

Enclosures No. One & 1-2

General No. 981

Submitting a statement showing the Demand, collection and balance as also the income and expenditure of all the villages belonging to the Desandj Mes under Government management.

R
10.4.01

Reminder order put up -
The file was given to Head Clerk
on 23-2-01.
mg.
11/4/01

The file was received and submitted to Deputy

11/4/01

No. 981

Enclosures No. One

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated Mysore 6th April 1901.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your docketed No. 7394 T. / R.F. 273-921 dated 16th April 1900, I have the honor to submit herewith a statement showing the Demand, collection and balance as also the income and expenditure of all the villages in question belonging to Colonel Désérâj Urs under Government management, up to the close of the year 1898-99. * Enclosures herewith returned

2. The Sarvamanya village of Hosakote in the Nanjengud Taluk has been under Government management since 1896-97 on behalf of the Palace as per orders conveyed in Government Proceedings No. 24273-5 / R. 3524, dated 19th June 1895, owing to a loan of ~~Rs~~. 30,000/- granted to Colonel Désérâj Urs from the Palace on the security of the village and only

1. Kuramanja Bagali.
2. Jadi Senaboa.
3. Jadi Bemakalli.

Rs. 3740-14-10 being the net income derived from the village up to the close of the year 1898-99 has been remitted to the Palace Department, leaving a sum of Rs. 26,259-1-2 to be yet recovered.

3. The marginally noted villages of the Chamanjanagar Taluk were also placed under Government management in 1891-92 on behalf of the Palace as per orders conveyed in docket No. 12309-399, dated 2nd. February 1892, from the Chief Secretary to the Diwan of Mysore, in view to recovering from the revenues of the said villages the balance of Rs. 30,869-8-9 out of the loan of Rs. 37,008 made to Colonel Dikénaj Urs in 1887 by the Palace Department. The net revenue realized from 1891-92 up to the close of 1898-99 is Rs. 20,641-5-7. Of this amount Rs. 3,300/- was remitted to the Palace Department and the balance viz: Rs. 17,341-5-7 is at the credit of the Chamanjanagar Taluk Treasury. The Amildar has been directed

to remit at once the latter sum together with the net income of the year 1899-1900 to the Palace Department. This would leave ~~Rs.~~ 10,228.3.2 to be recovered towards the loan made in 1867.

4. The annual Muzrai expenses of ~~Rs.~~ 186/- as detailed in the enclosure of the docket under reply as well as the arrears of Rs. 475-3-4 due to the institutions in question, can be met from the average annual net income of ~~Rs.~~ 3,500 of the three villages in the Chamarajanagar Taluk as only a balance of ~~Rs.~~ 10,228.3.2 ~~are~~ remains to be recovered from them towards the loan referred to.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt. servant

K. Ramalinga Iyengar
Dy. Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

A.G.

No. 256

Enclosures No. one

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated 18th September 1901

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M.A. M.L.
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir,

As required in a telegram dated 14th September, 1901, from the Dewan of Mysore I have the honor to submit herewith a statement showing the receipts, expenditure, and balance of the Jagheer villages belonging to Colonel Deseraj Urs, since they were placed under Government management.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[redacted]

Dy. Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

HL 415

SEP 20 1901

1901—1902

FROM

H Ramanuja Iyengar Esq
The Deputy Commissioner,
of the Mysore District.

To

H.V. Nanjundayya Esq m.A.
The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments

Dated 18th
Despatched } September 1901
Received

General No. 256
No.

Enclosure No. One

Submitting a statement showing the receipts, expenditure, and balance of the jaghir villages belonging to Colonel Desaraj Urs

HR64-91-14

2011

From a statement furnished by the Managers of the Palace Establishments office it is ascertained that there is a surplus of Rs 13756-1-1 on account of this Jaghir and that there will be due out of the Palace Treasury when the Maharaja Regent has been pleased to sanction a sum of ten thousand rupees being granted to Col Desarajears who will be the Controller of the Palace Household the above mentioned sum at the disposal of Col D.

Copy to Col Dc
Palace Controller
State Comptroller

Recd in Office
Fin. Branch
1/10/01

Please draft and submit to Secy for appl
26.IX.01

Ell 1/10/01

S.K. H.D. 1/10/01 draft sub

24/9/01

File in the subj
HS 24/9/01.

Inform the Dewan
24/9/01

papers connected with villages

336

Statement Shewing the receipts, Expenditure and balance of the Jahagir Villages of Colonel Désaray Urs under the Government Management.

Years	Balance out of Previous years receipts.	Receipt during the year	Total	Expenditure. Amount remitted to Palace	Amount credited towards the Kaudayam due to Government	Charges (Pay &c) of the Village establishment	Total Expenditure	Balance.	Remarks.
				Of the Villages in the Chamarajanagar Taluk.					Balance on the 1st July 1901. Rs 6932-2-0
1891-92	" " "	1910 7 7	1910 7 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1910 7 7	
1892-93	1910 7 7	5368 11 8	7279 3 3	" " "	5760 1 11	92 3 8	5852 5 7	1426 13 8	Add amount collected in July + August 1901 68-2-10
1893-94	1426 13 8	4538 14 11	5965 12 7	" " "	2676 1 10	197 13 5	2873 15 3	3091 13 4	Total. 7000-4-10
1894-95	3091 13 4	6556 15 2	9648 12 6	3300 " 0	3094 0 8	175 6 5	6569 7 1	3079 5 5	Deduct the amount paid towards the establishment Charges - 5-4-4
1895-96	3079 5 5	7340 9 11	10419 15 4	" " "	2988 8 8	168 3 5	3156 12 1	7263 3 3	
1896-97	7263 3 3	6574 10 8	13837 13 11	" " "	2961 12 0	337 1 3	3298 13 3	10539 0 8	
1897-98	10539 0 8	7059 2 6	17598 3 2	" " "	2894 6 0	845 11 8	3740 1 8	13858 1 6	Balance now on hand in the Taluk Treasury 6,995-0-6
1898-99	13858 1 6	6529 14 5	20387 15 11	" " "	2840 13 0	205 13 4	3046 10 4	17341 5 7	
1899-1900	17341 5 7	6653 5 3	23994 10 10	" " "	2673 15 0	516 3 4	3190 2 4	20804 8 6	
1900-1901	20804 8 6	7241 4 5	28045 12 11	17341 5 7	2999 2 4	773 3 0	21,113 10 11	6932 2 0	
Total	" " "	59774 0 6	" " "	20641 5 7	28888 13 5	3311 11 6	52841 14 6	6932 2 0	
				Of the villages of Hosakote in the Kanjangud Taluk.					
1895-96	" " "	538 7 6	538 7 6	" " "	538 7 6	" " "	538 7 6	" " "	
1896-97	" " "	6405 7 6	6405 7 6	" " "	6281 2 6	124 5 0	6405 7 6	" " "	

1898-1899	"	"	"	3641	15	1	3641	15	1	2489	2	5	1051	10	8	101	2	0	3641	15	1	"	"	"						
1899-1900	"	"	"	2612	7	8	2612	7	8	576	0	6	2036	7	2	"	"	"	2612	7	8	"	"	"						
1900-1901	"	"	"	3367	8	10	3367	8	10	2186	1	3	1,181	7	7	"	"	"	3367	8	10	"	"	"						
	"	"	"	19303	5	1	19303	5	1	6862	1	6	12,215	12	7	225	7	0	19,303	5	1	"	"	"						

Abstract.

Amount of loan incurred on the village of Hosakote Nanjangud Taluk Rs. 30000-0-0

Balance of Do —— on the 3 villages in the Chamarajandgar Taluk Rs 30869-8-9

Total Rs. 60869-8-9

Total receipts of all the Jahagir villages Rs 79077-5-7

Amount remitted to Palace Rs 27503-7-1

Do Credited towards Kandayam Local cess, Contribution etc due to Government 41,104-10-0

Amount paid towards the Charge of the Village establishment 3,537-2-6

Add amount on hand in the Taluk Treasury up to end of June 1901 6,932-2-0

Total Rs. 79,077-5-7

	Rs	As	Ps
Deduct amount already remitted to Palace.	27503	7	1
And the amount on hand in the Taluk Treasury	6,995	0	6
Balance still due to Palace	26,371	1	2

A K Ellamma Iyengar
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

W.R.

Re draft as per orders

Read:—

petition No 997 dated 15-11-1899 from A Col. J. Desaraja Urs, requesting that a sum of Rs 475-3-4 being the balance due to the temples at Tirumakudlu, Moogoor, and Nanjangud, may be paid out of the income of his Inam village Bagali, Jennoor Devahalli, and Hoskote under Govt. management, and further that a sum of Rs 186 every year may hereafter be paid regularly to these temples

2) Letter No 981 dated 6th April 1901, from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore district, submitting a statement showing the demand, Collection and Balance, as well as the income & expenditure of all the villages belonging to A Col Desaraja Urs, and stating that the Muzrai expenses referred to may be met from the average annual net income of Rs 3500 of Bagali & other villages in the Channarayapatna Taluk.

3) Letter No 57 dated 9th March 1900

Mr 64-41-15

1900, from the palace bon-
troller, stating that he
has no objection to the
petitioner's request being
complied with.

Order thereon

Sanctioned.

The payment of the balance
due up to date and of Rs 186
~~annu~~ annually hereafter
to be ~~utilized~~ utilized
for the celebration of
religious festivals in the
temples referred to as
requested by Lt. Col. Desa-
raja Urs is sanctioned
the charge may be met
out of the annual net
income of Rs 3500 of
Bagali and other villages
as recommended by the
Dy. Comr. to whom 4 Copies
of the petition and of its
enclosure will be sent for
information and guidance.

Ex. 2

R No. 465-68 & 31-7-01

R.T. 273-92 31

read above

To
The Deputy Comr. Mysore &
The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.
Lt. Col. J. Desa raja Urs
The palace Controller

26/7/01 Secretary